

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/675,466	09/28/2000	Arnold N. Blinn	MS1-595US	8924
22801	7590 11/03/2004		EXAM	INER
LEE & HAYES PLLC			FELTEN, DANIEL S	
421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500 SPOKANE, WA 99201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3624	
			DATE MAILED: 11/02/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary    Description		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner Daniel S Felten Services  - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Repty  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(b). In no event, horsever, may a reply be timely filled  - If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will sugir St X (9 MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. If have been reply the standard part of the provision of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will sugir St X (9 MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. If have been replaced been them adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.704(b).  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will sugir St X (9 MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. If have been replaced them adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.704(b).  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will sugir St X (9 MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. PROPERTY of the communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will sugir St X (9 MONTHS from the maining date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory individually the condition of the specific maximum statutory individually the condition.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory individually the condition of the specific maximum statutory individually the condition.  - If NO period for reply is specified above.  - If NO period for reply is specified above.  - If NO period for reply is specified above.  - If NO period for reply is specified above.  - If NO period for reply is specified above.  - If NO period for reply is specified above.  - If NO period for reply is specified abo						
Daniel S Felten    Setatus   Setatu	Office Action Summary					
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Repty  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Estancians of the may be a variable under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.136(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filed and set of the provision between the provision between the provision of 3 CFR 1.136(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filed and set of the provision to the maining date of the communication, reply within the abdutatory minimum of thiny (30) days will be considered of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for raply with, by statute, caused the application to become ARAPCNED CR 3 U.S.C. § 130).  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for raply with, by statute, caused the application to become ARAPCNED CR 3 U.S.C. § 130).  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for raply with, by statute, caused the application to become ARAPCNED CR 3 U.S.C. § 130).  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for raply with, by statute, caused the application to become ARAPCNED CR 3 U.S.C. § 130).  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for raply with, by statute, caused the application to be communication (S Times and S Times a	Cince Alexander Cammany					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Enternation of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.73(b). In no events, however, may a reply be timely filed  Enternation of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.73(b). In no events, however, may a reply be timely filed  Enternation of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.73(b). In no events, however, may a reply be timely filed  Enternation of the provision of the mailing date of this communication.  Part of the provision of the provision of the provision of the mailing date of this communication, event of tensy filed, may reduce any secured patient term adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 August 2004.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1.28 and 30.34 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  5) Claim(s) 1.28 and 30.34 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 1.28 and 30.34 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 1.28 and 30.34 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 1.28 and 30.34 is/are rejected to.  8) Claim(s) 1.28 and 30.34 is/are rejected to.  8) Claim(s) 1.28 and 30.34 is/are rejected to.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) objected to by the Examiner.  11) Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the	The MAILING DATE of this communication a					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.135(a). In no event, however, may a reply be limely filled after SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Per vision of the communication of the communicat	Period for Reply		•			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 August 2004.  2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-28 and 30-34 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 1-28 and 30-34 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All   b) Some * c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  40 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/M	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory established to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state than three months after the main three main three months after the main thre	I.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a pply within the statutory minimum of thing will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  3 ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4 ☐ Claim(s) 1-28 and 30-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are plowed. 5 ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12 ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Altachment(s) 1 ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-412) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail D	Status					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  3 ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4 ☐ Claim(s) 1-28 and 30-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are plowed. 5 ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12 ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Altachment(s) 1 ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-412) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail D	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	August 2004.				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)	•	·				
Disposition of Claims  4)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
4)	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No,  3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5. Fatent and Trademan Office  5. Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6	Disposition of Claims					
5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All   b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-982)  2) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5. Retent and Trademan Office	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28 and 30-34</u> is/are pending in the application.					
6) Claim(s) 1-28 and 30-34 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Paferences Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5. Fatent and Tudemank Office	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Parlsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5. Fatent and Tademank Office  1. Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5. Fatent and Tademank Office	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  6) Other:						
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Seatent and Trademank Office	·_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5. Patent and Tradematk Office  5. Patent and Tradematk Office	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Braftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5. Patent and Trademark Office	Application Papers					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:  5. Patent and Trademark Office	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Pafsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5. Patent and Trademark Office	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5. Patent and Trademark Office						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5)  Other:  5. Patent and Trademark Office						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) ☐ Other:	TIJ□ THE DAM OF DECIATATION IS Objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action of form PTO-152.					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5. Patent and Trademark Office	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5. Patent and Trademark Office	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5. Patent and Trademark Office						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  S. Patent and Trademark Office						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5. Patent and Trademark Office						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Pother:						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:	Attachment(s)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	*/	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
	J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 10282004			

Art Unit: 3624

# **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Receipt of the Amendment filed August 24, 2004 canceling claim 29 and amending claims 11, 12, 19, 26 and 32 is acknowledged. Claims 1-28 and 30-34 are pending in the application and are presented to be examined upon their merits.

# Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments, see pages 11 and 12, filed August 24, 2004, with respect to 35 USC § 112 and 35 USC § 101 rejections have been fully considered and are persuasive. The 35 USC § 112 and 35 USC § 101 rejections of the March 18, 2004 Office Action have been withdrawn. Applicant's arguments with respect to the rejection(s)of claim(s) 11, 26 and 30-32 under 35 USC § 102(b) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn upon amendment of these claims. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made and are presented below.

Art Unit: 3624

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-28 and 30-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pitroda (Us 5,590,038) in view of Franklin et al (US 6,125,352).

#### Re claim 1:

Pitroda discloses that one or more computer readable media having stored thereon a plurality of instructions that, when executed by one or more processors, causes the one or more processors to perform acts (see Pitroda, Abstract):

identifying a plurality of accounts corresponding to the user (see Pitroda, col. 1, II. 9-36; col. 2, II. 44+; and col. 3, II. 34-61);

selecting a subset of the plurality of accounts to make available to the user, the subset including only those accounts that can be used by the user to make a purchase at the merchant server (see Pitroda, col. 3, II. 62 to col. 4, II. 11); and

presenting the subset of accounts to the user (see Pitroda, col. 1, II. 9-36; col. 2, II. 44+; and col. 3, II. 34-61).

Art Unit: 3624

Pitroda discloses an indication of a purchase a user is attempting to make via a status indication during a sales transaction, but fails to disclose receiving, from a merchant server. This is disclosed by Franklin (see Franklin, Abstract). It would have been obvious for an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to be motivated to use the merchant server as disclosed in Franklin, because an artisan at the time of the invention would have recognized the advantages of the merchant server over the POS, to provide various status and transaction over the notoriously old and well known Internet. Thus to substitute the merchant server for the POS would have provided the latest network technology as well as an obvious expedient well within the ordinary skill in the art.

# Re claim 2:

Pitroda discloses that the plurality of accounts include one or more of: credit card accounts, debit card payment accounts, gift certificate payment accounts, rebate payment accounts, reward payment accounts, and cash payment accounts (see Pitroda, col. 1, II. 9-36).

### Re claim 3:

Pitroda discloses that the accounts that can be used to make a purchase at the merchant server are those accounts of a type identified by the merchant server as well as additional accounts of a type that are mapped to an account type identified by the merchant server as being useable (see Pitroda, col. 13, II. 47 to col. 14, II. 32).

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/675,466

Art Unit: 3624

Re claim 4:

Pitroda discloses that the accounts that can be used to make a purchase at the merchant server are those accounts of a type identified by the merchant server as well as additional accounts of a type from which funds can be added to an account of a type identified by the merchant server (see Pitroda, figs. 18 & 19, col. 14, II. 39-49).

Re claim 5:

Pitroda discloses that one or more computer readable media wherein the plurality of instructions further cause the one or more processors to perform acts including receiving, from the merchant server, the identification of types of accounts as part of the indication of the purchase the user is attempting to make (see claim 1).

Re claim 6:

Pitroda wherein the plurality of instructions further cause the one or more processors to perform acts including: receiving a user selection of one of the subset of accounts; and forwarding, to the merchant server, payment information corresponding to the selected account (see claim 1).

Re claim 7:

Wherein the plurality of instructions further cause the one or more processors to perform acts including:

Art Unit: 3624

receiving a user selection of two or more of the subset of accounts; allowing the user to combine funds from the selected accounts into a combined account; and

forwarding, to the merchant server, payment information corresponding to the combined account.

### Re claim 8:

Pitroda discloses wherein the plurality of instructions further cause the one or more processors to perform acts including allowing the user to combine funds from one account that is not identified by the merchant as acceptable with another account that is identified by the merchant as acceptable.

#### Re claim 9:

Pitroda wherein the plurality of instructions further cause the one or more processors to perform acts including:

receiving a user selection of one of the subset of accounts;

mapping payment information corresponding to the account to a format that can be used to make a purchase at the merchant server; and

forwarding, to the merchant server, the mapped payment information (see Franklin col. 3, II. 48+).

Art Unit: 3624

### Re claim 10:

Pitroda discloses that one or more computer readable media wherein the plurality of accounts are identified in an electronic wallet UET (see Pitroda, figs. 12-14 and 19-24), and wherein the plurality of instructions further cause the one or more processors to perform acts including:

receiving, from the merchant server, a request for information not included in the electronic wallet (see Pitroda, col. 13, II. 39 to col. 14, II. 32); querying the user for the information; receiving a response to the query;

returning the response to the merchant server as the requested information (see claim 1, and col. 13, II. 39 to col. 14, II. 32, also col. 15, II. 40+).

# Re claim 11:

Pitroda discloses presenting a plurality of accounts of different types to a user (see Pitroda, figs. 12, 13, Abstract), but fails to disclose allowing the user to transfer value from one of the plurality of accounts to another of the plurality of accounts. This is disclosed by Franklin (see Franklin, Abstract). It would have been obvious for an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to allow for the aforementionted feature to provide convenience to the user in making various transactions in different accounts. Thus such a modification would be an obvious expedient well within the ordinary skill of the art.

Art Unit: 3624

# Re claim 12:

Pitroda discloses storing the plurality of accounts in an electronic wallet on a remote server (see Pitroda, col. 11, II. 40+; and col. 14, II. 40-65).

# Re claim 13:

Pitroda discloses wherein the different types of accounts include two or more of debit card payment accounts, gift certificate payment accounts, rebate payment accounts, reward payment accounts, allowance payment accounts, and cash payment accounts (see Pitroda, col. 14, II. 8-49).

# Re claim 14:

Pitroda discloses wherein the allowing comprises allowing the user to transfer value from one of the plurality of accounts to another of the plurality of accounts while in the process of making an on-line purchase (see Pitroda, col. 14, II. 8-49).

# Re claim 15:

Art Unit: 3624

Page 9

Pitroda discloses wherein the allowing further comprises allowing the user to transfer

value from an account that is not accepted by a merchant at which the on-line purchase

is being made to an account that is accepted by the merchant (see Pitroda, col. 14, II. 8-

49).

Re claim 16:

Pitroda discloses that one or more computer-readable memories containing a computer

program that is executable by a processor to perform the method recited in claim 11

(see Pitroda, Abstract; and col. 12, II. 7+).

Re claim 17:

A system comprising:

a wallet server to store a plurality of payment accounts for a user; and

a user interface component, coupled to the wallet server, to display the plurality of

payment accounts and to allow manipulation of the payment accounts including

transferring value from one payment account to another (see Pitroda, col. 10, II. 9+).

Re claim 18:

Pitroda in view of Franklin discloses a system wherein the user interface component

comprises a web page (see Franklin, col. 6, Il. 12+).

Re claim 19:

Art Unit: 3624

Pitroda in view of Franklin discloses receiving a request from a user to make a purchase using a particular type of fund;

converting payment information corresponding to the particular fund to a credit card format; and communicating the converted payment information to a merchant for the purchase (see Pitroda, col. 1, II. 9-36).

Re claims 22 and 24:

Pitroda in view of Franklin discloses converting the payment information to the credit card format without the user's knowledge; and communicating the converted payment information to the merchant without any indication of the conversion from the particular type of fund (see Pitroda, col. 2, II. 44+)

Re claim 23:

Pitroda in view of Franklin discloses that converting comprises converting the payment information to a Visa® credit card format (see Pitroda, col. 11, II. 39-58).

Re claim 25:

Pitroda in view of Franklin discloses that one or more computer-readable memories containing a computer program that is executable by a processor to perform the method recited in claim 19 (see Pitroda, col. 12, II. 7+).

Re claim 26:

Art Unit: 3624

Pitroda discloses maintaining a plurality of different types of payment accounts for a user; and allowing the user to transfer payment information stored in the payment accounts to merchants to make purchases (see Pitroda, col. 3, II. 62 to col. 4, II. 11).

# Re claim 27:

Pitroda discloses wherein the types of payment accounts include one or more of debit cards, gift certificates, rebates, allowances, and cash (see Pitroda, col. 1, II. 9-36).

# Re claim 28:

Pitroda discloses that the maintaining comprises maintaining the plurality of different types of payment accounts at a remote server (see claim 1).

#### Re claim 33:

Pitroda discloses that one or more computer-readable memories containing a computer program that is executable by a processor to perform (see Pitroda, col. 12, II. 7+).

3. Claims 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pitroda as modified by Franklin et al as applied to claim 1, and in further in view of

Art Unit: 3624

Christensen et al (US 5,710,886). The teachings of Pitroda as modified by Franklin et al

Page 12

have been discussed above.

Re claims 20 and 21:

Pitroda as modified by Franklin discloses various funds/ accounts, but fails to disclose

that the particular type of fund comprises a gift certificate and/or rebate funds. This is

disclosed by Christensen (see Christensen, Abstract). It would have been obvious for

an artisan of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to employ the teachings of

Christensen to the teachings of Pitroda as modified by Franklin because an artisan

would have recognized that gift certificates and rebates are notoriously old and well

known in the art to encourage card users to make additional purchases. Thus an

artisan would have been motivated to use the gift certificate fund and rebate fund as a

purchase incentive to the cardholder, thus creating greater use of the card. Thus such

a modification would have been an obvious expedient well within the ordinary skill in the

art.

Re claim 29:

Pitroda discloses allowing the user to transfer funds between different ones of the

plurality of different types of payment accounts (see Pitroda, col. 14, II. 39-49).

Re claim 30:

Art Unit: 3624

Pitroda discloses allowing additional funds to be added (deposited) to a payment account (see Pitroda, fig. 18 and 19, col. 14, II. 39-49).

# Re claim 31:

Pitroda discloses allowing additional funds to be added to the payment account comprises allowing additional funds to be added to the payment account by the user (see Pitroda, fig. 18 and 19, col. 14, II. 39-49).

# Re claim 32:

Pitroda discloses that the plurality of different types of payment accounts are maintained in an electronic wallet (UET) (see Pitroda, figs. 18 and 19, col. 14, II. 32):

receiving a request from a merchant for information that is not included in the electronic obtaining the requested information from the user; returning the requested information to the merchant (see Pitroda, col. 13, II. 39 to col. 14, II. 32; and col. 15, II. 40+).

wallet (see Pitroda, col. 13, II. 39 to col. 14, II. 32);

# Re claim 34:

Pitroda as modified by Franklin fails to disclose maintaining a gift certificate account including payment information corresponding to the gift certificate account, presenting, to the user, the gift certificate account as a gift certificate and presenting, to a merchant,

Art Unit: 3624

the gift certificate account as a credit card. This is disclosed by Christensen (see explanation for claims 20 and 21)

#### Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel S Felten whose telephone number is (703) 305-0724. The examiner can normally be reached on Flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (703) 308-1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**DSF** 

October 28, 2004

Daniel S Felten Examiner Art Unit 3624

low 2 Balace PRIMARY AU. 3624